

EXHIBIT 2

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

3 MDL No. 3076

4 CASE NO. 1:23-md-03076-KMM

5
6 In Re: FTX Cryptocurrency Exchange
Collapse Litigation

7 -----/

8
9 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
10 VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE OF:
11 JANE ATHERTON
12 as corporate representative of
Temasek International (USA) LLC

13 Pages 1 to 123

14 Wednesday, January 31, 2024
15 9:06 a.m. - 12:22 p.m.

16
17
18
19
20 STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
NANCY E. PAULSEN, CRR, CRC, RPR, RSA

21
22
23
24
25

1 correct?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And you never looked at any vendor billings in
4 connection with any due diligence?

5 For example, if a law firm, like a law firm
6 like Clifford Chance, was retained to do something with
7 respect to the FTX due diligence, you -- you've never
8 looked at their billings; is that right?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. Okay. And you've never looked at any travel
11 reimbursement records for any of the people that were
12 involved in -- in sourcing and monitoring the
13 investments in FTX or Alameda?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. All right. And you've never looked at the --
16 any summaries of advisory -- or any advisory board
17 minutes of FTX or any summaries of -- that were in
18 the -- the Temasek files for what happened at any
19 advisory boards of any of the FTX entities?

20 MS. KOVALENKO: Object to the form.

21 A. I don't -- I was not involved in those
22 transactions, Jim, so again, I don't know what those
23 documents would be.

24 BY MR. SWANSON:

25 Q. All right. And you don't know where any

1 advisory committee meetings were held and who, if
2 anybody, from Temasek went to those meetings?

3 MS. KOVALENKO: Object to the form, lacks
4 foundation.

5 A. Again, if it's reflect -- sorry. If the
6 question is talking about Temasek USA, I know nobody
7 from Temasek USA was involved. I can't comment on -- on
8 the rest, I don't know.

9 BY MR. SWANSON:

10 Q. All right. Why was Temasek USA not involved
11 in monitoring any of the FTX investments? Do you have
12 any explanation of that?

13 MS. KOVALENKO: Object to the form.

14 A. Sure. Again, Temasek USA may not be asked to
15 monitor all the investments that are made by Temasek.
16 So it is not unusual that investments could be made in
17 other entities where we in Temasek USA are not involved.

18 BY MR. SWANSON:

19 Q. All right. Now I want to look at...

20 Bear with me a second, I need to pull up
21 something on the computer here.

22 Can you pull up what's marked for our purposes
23 as 57, that's our internal number, but this will be
24 Number 2, Number 2 for purposes of today's deposition,
25 Exhibit Number 2.

1 let's say you are me and you're trying to figure this
2 stuff out and figure out where -- you know, who -- where
3 there is jurisdiction or not.

4 Who is better situated to talk about what
5 happened in California, you, who talked to Prady one
6 time, or Prady, which one is better?

7 MS. KOVALENKO: Object to the form.

8 A. I'm not sure I understand the question, Jim.
9 Please rephrase.

10 BY MR. SWANSON:

11 Q. You were -- you were a lawyer at one time, and
12 maybe -- maybe not in the litigation, like I am. But I,
13 unfortunately, have been involved in it for a long time.

14 But if you were trying to figure out who --
15 who has a better amount of personal knowledge of what
16 meetings took place and where they took place, is it you
17 or is it Prady?

18 MS. KOVALENKO: Object to this as being
19 completely improper and outside the scope of what's
20 permitted for this 30(b)(6) deposition. Also calls
21 for speculation, lacks foundation.

22 You can answer if you can, Jane.

23 A. I'm not quite sure what I'm answering. I know
24 what I did, and I was not involved. And I know none of
25 my employees were involved; otherwise, as the head of

1 the financial services activities, I would have known.

2 And Prady, who, I understand, was involved,
3 confirmed to me that there was no meeting in California.

4 BY MR. SWANSON:

5 Q. Who knows more about FTX meetings, you or
6 Prady?

7 MS. KOVALENKO: Object to the form.

8 A. I was not involved in the FTX transaction, so
9 I would have to say Prady.

10 BY MR. SWANSON:

11 Q. That's what I thought. Okay.

12 And you never spoke at all to Antony Lewis or
13 Sijia Chen; is that right?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And Sijia Chen I think I was referring to the
16 last deposition as Mr. Chen, but do you know -- but
17 that's wrong, it's not Mr.; right? That's a --

18 A. I believe it's a lady, yeah.

19 Q. Yeah. Have you met her?

20 A. I have.

21 Q. Where?

22 A. In Singapore a number of years ago.

23 Q. All right. Okay.

24 MS. ALEXANDER: I just need some prices first.

25 Do you have the 30 milliliter and the 50